# Choosing to Grow a Graph

# Modeling Network Formation as Discrete Choice

Jan Overgoor Stanford University overgoor@stanford.edu Austin Benson **Cornell University** arb@cs.cornell.edu

Johan Ugander Stanford University jugander@stanford.edu

#### Introduction

- Network evolution is widely studied and many different models and frameworks have been proposed.
- We frame edge formation as a **discrete choice process**,

#### Data

- We assume that we have access to a sequence of directed edges (*i*, *j*, *t*), in chronological order.
- For every edge, create a data point for every alternative

# **Application – PA vs Triadic Closure**

- One can test and compare the likelihood of different formation processes for a specific data set.
- For example, preferential attachment can be hard to distinguish from other processes just from outcome data.

- subsuming many existing models in a unified framework.
- This perspective is general, flexible, easily extended and efficiently estimated with existing analysis tools.

## **Discrete Choice**

- Choice models are commonly used in other fields to model how individuals make choices from a slate of discrete alternatives. Alternatives have features and choice models aim to estimate the relative importance of such features.
- Edge formation events in social networks can be viewed as discrete choices. Why did *i* choose to connect to *j* instead of any other node? t=1



with t	hei	r featur	res	at	time	e t a	nd wł	nether	they	got
selecte	ed _	Choice ID	i	j	Color	deg <sub>j, t</sub>	FoF <sub>ij,t</sub>	Y		
		1	1	4	•	2	1	0		
		1	1	5	•	1	0	0		

-	-	-		4	-	v	
1	1	5	•	1	0	0	
1	1	6	•	2	0	0	
1	1	7	•	1	0	1	
1	1	8	•	0	0	0	
2	5	1	•	0	0	0	
2	5	2	•	1	0	0	
2	5	3	•	1	0	0	
2	5	7	•	2	1	1	
2	5	8	•	0	0	0	

# Estimation

• Logit models with linear utility have a convex (wrt.  $\theta$ ) likelihood function and can be efficiently maximized using standard gradient-based optimization (e.g., BFGS). The functional form of the logit has simple gradients.



- To illustrate, we generate synthetic data with a process that varies the relative role of degree (p) and triadic closure (r).
- We then estimate the power-law exponent  $\gamma$  to test for the presence of PA in the outcome graphs.





• We focus on the **conditional logit model**:

$$P_i(j,C) = \frac{\exp u_{i,j}}{\sum_{\ell \in C} \exp u_{i,\ell}} = \frac{\exp \theta^T x_j}{\sum_{\ell \in C} \exp \theta^T x_\ell}$$

- The logit is a random utility model (RUM), s.t. choices are interpretable as a rational actor acting based on the from random variables that "utility" sampled decompose into the inherent utility of the alternative and a noise term.
- We can use existing optimization routines to estimate model parameters and existing statistical methods to asses the uncertainty of the estimates.

#### Models

Triadic closure

FoF attachment

PA with fitness

Latent space

Homophily

Individual node fitness

Stochastic block model

PA, FoFs only

• Here are a number of prior proposed models for network growth, and their corresponding functional

 $\alpha \log \eta_{i,j}$ 

 $\alpha \log d_j$ 

 $\theta_i$ 

 $\alpha \log d_i + \theta_i$ 

 $\beta \cdot d(i,j)$ 

 $\omega_{g_i,g_j}$ 

 $h \cdot \mathbb{1}\{g_i = g_j\}$ 

 $\{j: FoF_{i,j}\}$ 

 $\{j: FoF_{i,j}\}$ 

V

V



- There are a number of existing software packages (e.g. mlogit, statsmodels) to fit these models as well.
- For large sparse graphs, the choice sets can become prohibitively large. A reduced data set can be created by sampling *s* negative/non-chosen examples.
- When negative samples are sampled uniformly at random, parameter estimates on the sampled data are **unbiased and consistent** for the estimates on the on the Appletation – Measuring PA

#### • The conditional logit framework provides a principled and flexible statistical test for the presence of hypothesized tendencies in a network formation

## **Application – Citation Network**

- We apply the logit framework to fit a series of models to a large citation network.
- Here are the resulting regression coefficients (left) and non-parametric estimates for the role of degree in the form of prior citations (right) for two of these models.



Just accounting for degree results in sub-linear

Process	u <sub>i,j</sub>	С
Uniform attachment	1	V
Preferential attachment	$\alpha \log d_i$	V
Non-parametric PA	$\theta_{d_i}$	V

#### process.

Relative

For example, the presence of preferential attachment (PA), is tested when the utility specification includes  $\alpha \log d_i$ . 



Degree

preferential attachment, while accounting for age results in linear preferential attachment ( $\alpha \approx 1$ ). The non-parametric estimates are remarkably linear.

• In the paper we also do an analysis with Flickr data.

# **Future Work**

We are currently exploring a number of extensions to this work:

- Stratified negative sampling to improve efficiency
- Node heterogeneity of parameter estimates
- Different processes for choosing *i*
- Modeling edge deletion
- Other feature parity with SAOM models