Alias Analysis of Executable Code

S. Debray, et al. (POPL '98) Presented by Xin Qi



What is Special about Executables

- We no longer have
 - □ Types can't do type filtering
 - □ Structures jump all around
- We have
 - □ Pointer arithmetics a lot!
 - □ Normally whole-program information
- In addition
 - □ Compilers can do something unexpected
 - Tom Reps' example about uninitialized variables



Introduction to the Analysis

- Works on RISC instruction set
 - ☐ Memory accessed only through load & store
 - ☐ Three-operator integer instructions:
 - Basically only add & mult (sub & mov modeled by add)
 - Bitwise operators?
- Properties of the analysis
 - May alias analysis
 - ☐ Flow-sensitive, context-insensitive, interprocedural



Naïve Approach

- Local Alias Analysis
 - Within a basic block
 - □ Two references are not aliasing each other if
 - Either they use distinct offsets from the same base register, and the register is not redefined in between
 - Or one points to stack and the other points to global data area
 - Not working across basic block boundaries

Residue-based Approach

- Want to know the set of possible addresses referenced by a memory access
 - □ Basically the set of possible values in a register
- Impractical to consider all possible integer values in registers
- For instruction add & mult, a very natural thing is to consider mod-k residues
 - □ Very easy to compute the new residue
 - \square $k = 2^m \text{The set of } \{0, 1, ..., k 1\} \text{ is called } Z_k$



Residue-based Approach (cntd)

- Not always possible to compute a set of actual values for a register
 - □ User inputs
 - □ Read from memory
- lacksquare Can't just say that it is Z_k
 - □ Too imprecise



Example

```
load r1, addr
```

. . .

add r1, 3, r2

add r1, 5, r3

. . .



Address Descriptors

- The idea of "being relative to a common value" is captured in address descriptors
- Address descriptors <*I*, *M*>
 - $\Box I$ defining instruction, abstract away the unknown part
 - $\square M$ residue set, as before

Address Descriptors (cntd)

- Defining instruction I
 - □ Can be an instruction, NONE, or ANY
 - □ <NONE, *> represents absolute addresses
 - \square < ANY, *> is essentially \bot
- Residue set *M*
 - Set of mod-k addresses relative to the value defined in the instruction
 - $\square <^*$, $Z_k >$ is also \bot

Address Descriptors (cntd²)

- $val_P(I)$ = set of values that some execution path of P would make I evaluate to
- Concretization function
 - $\Box conc_{P}(\langle I, M \rangle) = \{w + ik + x \mid w \in val_{P}(I), x \in M, i \geq 0\}$
 - \square Why should $i \ge 0$?

Address Descriptors (cntd³)

- A preorder relation $<I_1$, $M_1> \le <I_2$, $M_2>$
 - $\square I_1 = \mathsf{ANY} \ \mathsf{or} \ M_1 = Z_k$
 - $\square M_2 = \varnothing$
 - $\square I_1 = I_2$ and $M_1 \subseteq M_2$
- An equivalence relation
 - $\square <^*$, $Z_k > = < ANY$, $^* > = \bot$
 - $\square <^*$, $\varnothing > = \top$
- We hence have a lattice

The Algorithm

- Transfer function
 - □ Load r, addr
 - <NONE, $\{val \mod k\}$ > if addr is read-only with val
 - **■** <*I*, {0}>
 - \square Add src_a, src_b, dest ($<I_a$, $M_a>$ and $<I_b$, $M_b>$)
 - lacksquare If one of I_a and I_b is NONE, say I_a
 - $\Box A' = \langle I_b, \{(x_a + x_b) \bmod k \mid x_a \in M_a, x_b \in M_b\} \rangle$
 - \square A' if $A' \neq \bot$; $\langle I, \{0\} \rangle$ otherwise
 - Otherwise, <*I*, {0}>



The Algorithm (cntd)

- For each program point, only keep a single address descriptor for each register
 - □ Take glb if there are more
- Reasoning alias relationships
 - □ For different I's. can't say much but assume may alias
 - \Box For same I, need to check it is the same value computed by I

Experimental Results

- Benchmarks
 - □ SPEC-95, and 6 others
- *k* = 64
- Precision measurement
 - Number of memory references that some information is obtained
 - **30%** ~ 60%
- Cost
 - □ Time and space: almost linear



Experimental Results (cntd)

- Reason for loss of precision & for low cost
 - Memory is not modeled
 - No information for something that is saved in memory, and read out later
 - Multiple address descriptors are merged for every program point
 - □ Context insensitivity



Experimental Results (cntd²)

- Utility of the analysis
 - □ Reducing the number of load instructions
 - Naïve algorithm improves by almost always ≤ 1%
 - This algorithm improves often close to 2%, sometimes even higher
 - □ Not very impressive still
 - □ Because ...
 - Compiler has done a good job
 - Not many free registers to use



Conclusion

- It is an interesting problem to analyze executable code
- The algorithm is
 - □ Simple and elegant
 - □ Scalable
 - □ Somewhat useful



Discussion

- Weakness?
- Possible improvements?